# PENDERGRASS FAIRWOLD SCHOOL 5935 Token St. Columbia, S. C. 29203 K-12 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 38 Students Patricia W. Brown 803-735-3435 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Ronald L. Epps 803-231-7500 BOARD CHAIR Vince Ford 803-231-7556 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: N/A Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Good Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Average N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A IMPROVEMENT RATING: N/A ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: NO SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE	TRENDS	OVER 4	PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	No
2004	N/A	N/A	No

### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

**English/Language Arts** 

75.0%

English/Language Arts

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School

**Mathematics** 

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

Mathematics

PACT PERFORMANCE B	Y GRO	UP						حجما	للجبط	ı
	] ±	6	% Below Basic	· /	/ ,	. / .	% Proficient and	€ / æ	* / E	<b>7</b> 6
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	/ %	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	]   <del>[</del>	Performance Objective	Participation Objective	ž
	1 4 5	, \ \mathrew{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}} \endotkinde{\text{\$\tilde{\minte\ta}}}}}} \endotk	\vec{N}{9}	/ 8	1 %	/ 👸	<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>			,
	\ <u>a</u>	/ %	/ %	/ "	/ %	/ %	1 4 8 P	a iğ	:   & &	1
	1 -		/	/		/	/ <sup>3</sup> \ \			/
	h/Langua	•								ı
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Gender										ı
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Racial/Ethnic Group										ı
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
African-American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Disability Status										l
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Migrant Status										l
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
English Proficiency										l
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										l
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			ı

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%								
All Students	N/A							
Gender								
Male	N/A							
Female	N/A							
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A							
African American	N/A							
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A							
Hispanic	N/A							
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A							
Disability Status								
Not Disabled	N/A							
Disabled	N/A							
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A							
Non-migrant	N/A							
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A							
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A							
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A							
Full-pay meals	N/A							

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

Pendergrass Fairword School										
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing		% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced			
		Englis	sh/Langua	age Arts						
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 3	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 6	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 7	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Grade 8	3	66.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	'		<b>V</b> athemat	ics		'	' '			
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Crode 4	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A			

Mathematics									
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 7	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 3	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 6	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 7	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 8	3	66.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 38)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	No change	0.0%	14.6%
Retention rate	36.4%	Down from 40.7%	5.7%	3.0%
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	90.6%	Up from 85.0%	96.2% 7.2%	95.9% 5.7%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%		6.6%	5.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	3.8%	14.3%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	72.7%	Up from 72.2%	14.8%	13.9%
Older than usual for grade	47.4%	Up from 45.8%	8.9%	4.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No change	1.4%	0.9%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 16)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	68.8%	Up from 53.3%	56.0%	48.7%
Continuing contract teachers	75.0%	Down from 93.3%	73.7%	81.7%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	88.9% 0.0%	N/A	84.6% 19.0%	90.4% 5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	83.3% 94.2%	Up from 79.6% Down from 94.3%	83.3% 94.5%	85.1% 94.8%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$47,005 9.1 days	Up 2.1% Up from 5.0 days	\$40,703 13.2 days	\$40,566 11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	2.0	3.3
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	4.8 to 1	Down from 6.5 to 1	16.4 to 1	21.3 to 1
Prime instructional time	84.5%	Up from 79.0%	89.0%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$40,979	Up 27.4%	\$9,783	\$5,821
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.9%	Down from 58.9%	60.0%	61.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Fair	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% Yes	No change No change	75.0% Yes	95.0% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Average	Good
Highly qualified to ask and in law and	aabas!-**	Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		91.3%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	/ SCHOOIS**	90.3%		.1% • Objective
Highly qualified togethers in this sehecit	*	State Objectiv 65.0%		es
Highly qualified teachers in this school*				
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	N	Ю

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Pendergrass Fairwold is a center-based facility for pre-school special education students and medically fragile profound and trainable mentally disabled students. We also house a vocational program for special education self-contained students not yet 21, whose parents request additional IDEA services

Our student focus for the year has been adapting state standards for our trainable and profound population so that they will have the skills and experiences needed to successfully meet the challenges of the PACT-Alt (Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test Alternative model), the HSAP-Alt (High School Alternative Assessment Program) and successfully complete IEP (Individual Education Program) goals. During the 2003-2004 school year, 79% of all Fairwold students mastered 70% or more of their IEP goals. Our staff development focus has been improving our use of communication devices so that our students learn and utilize communication systems that allow them to successfully express their needs and wants.

The school's continued goal for instruction and staff development is to refine teaching strategies so that students have the types of successful learning experiences that will help them lead a life as independent and as rewarding as possible.

Patricia W. Brown, Principal

ŀ	EVALUATIONS	BY TEACHE	ers, Studen	TS, AND PARE	NTS

	Teachers	Students*	Parents
Number of surveys returned	12	0	3
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.0%	N/R	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	75.0%	N/R	I/S
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	66.7%	N/R	I/S
*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their p	arents were include	led.	